	515		
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND DIVISION		
3			
4	ePLUS, INC.,		
5	Plaintiff, : Civil Action		
6	: No. 3:09CV620 LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.,		
7	: January 6, 2011 Defendant. :		
8	:		
9			
10			
11	COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF <b>JURY TRIAL</b> BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT E. PAYNE		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE, AND A JURY		
13			
14			
15 16	APPEARANCES: Scott L. Robertson, Esq.		
17	Jennifer A. Albert, Esq.  Michael T. Strapp, Esq.		
18	David M. Young, Esq.  GOODWIN PROCTOR		
19	901 New York Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20001		
20	Craig T. Merritt, Esq.		
21	CHRISTIAN & BARTON 909 E. Main Street, Suite 1200		
22	Richmond, VA 23219-3095		
23	Counsel for the plaintiff ePlus		
24			
25	DIANE J. DAFFRON, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		

```
516
     APPEARANCES: (Continuing)
 1
 2
     Daniel W. McDonald, Esq.
     Kirstin L. Stoll-DeBell, Esq.
 3
     William D. Schultz, Esq.
     MERCHANT & GOULD
 4
     3200 IDS Center
     80 South Eighth Street
     Minneapolis, MN 55402-2215
 5
 6
     Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esq.
     TROUTMAN SANDERS
 7
     Troutman Sanders Building
     1001 Haxall Point
     P.O. Box 1122
 8
     Richmond, VA 23218-1122
 9
              Counsel for the defendant Lawson Software.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

517

(The proceedings in this matter commenced at 1 2 9:20 a.m.) THE CLERK: Civil Action No. 3:09CV00620, 3 ePlus, Incorporated v. Lawson Software, Incorporated. 4 Mr. Scott L. Robertson, Mr. Craig T. Merritt, 5 Ms. Jennifer A. Albert, Mr. Michael T. Strapp, and Mr. 6 7 David M. Young represent the plaintiff. Mr. Daniel W. McDaniel, Mr. Dabney J. Carr, 8 9 IV, Ms. Kirstin L. Stoll-DeBell, and Mr. William D. 10 Schultz represent the defendant. 11 Are counsel ready to proceed? 12 MR. ROBERTSON: Yes, Your Honor. 13 MR. McDONALD: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. 14 15 I apologize for keeping you-all waiting this morning. I had a mechanical malfunction that I needed 16 to attend to, and I'm not very mechanically oriented. 17 All right, Mr. Robertson. 18 Dr. Weaver, I remind you you're under the 19 same oath which you took yesterday. 20 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. BY MR. ROBERTSON: 22 (Continuing) 23 Good morning, Dr. Weaver. 24 Α Good morning. 25 If we could have Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1 back up

518

on the screen again, the '683 patent, the cover page here.

Dr. Weaver, the jurors have seen this exhibit now several times and it's in their jury notebooks. This is at tab 2. Can you just tell us what is the title of the patent?

- A Electronic Sourcing System and Method.
- Q Has the Court defined the term "electronic sourcing system"?
- 10 A Yes, it has.

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 11 Q What's your understanding as to what that construction is?
- A In the glossary of claim terms, the "electronic sourcing system" has been defined by the Court to be an electronic system for use by a prospective buyer to locate and find items to purchase from sources, suppliers or vendors.
- 18 Q What is your understanding of what a source is, 19 sir?
- 20 A A source would be a vendor or a manufacturer or a 21 distributor.
- Q In the Court's construction of the claim term

  "catalog" or "product catalog," how does the Court

  define what a vendor can be?
- 25 A The vendor, in the Court's construction, a vendor

519

- would include suppliers, manufacturers, and
  distributors.
- Q Did you apply those terms when you conducted your infringement analysis?
  - A Yes, I did.

- Q Just what's your understanding at a high level overview of what these patents are about, sir?
- 8 A The invention in the patents is to bring together
- 9 electronic technology so that we can have electronic
- 10 catalogs. They can be kept in a database. They can
- 11 be searched. Items could be found. Items could be
- 12 selected. Items could be put on a requisition, and
- 13 | then the requisition could be turned into a purchase
- 14 order. And then depending on which claims we're
- 15 | talking about, there's also the capability of checking
- 16 | on whether an item is available in inventory and
- 17 | taking one item and finding generally similar items
- 18 | that you might want to substitute.
- 19 Q The title in the patent says, "Electronic Sourcing
- 20 | System and Method." So what's your understanding of
- 21 | the term "method" in the title of the patent?
- 22 A A method is a process.
- Q What types of processes are we talking about in
- 24 | this electronic sourcing system?
- 25  $\blacksquare$  A So these are steps that a computer would follow.

Q Now, the Court's instructed the jury that it is claims that define the property right, and it is the claims that need to be examined in order to determine the infringement and not embodiments from the specifications, so they understand that.

So could we just take a specific look at a claim, for example, so we can start to understand the claim language in context. And for that, I'd like to use Claim Three of the '683 patent. We have a demonstrative for that.

Now, Dr. Weaver, was this a demonstrative created at your direction?

A Yes, it was.

- 14 Q Is it a fair and accurate depiction of Claim Three 15 of the '683 patent?
- 16 ∥ A Yes, it is.
- Q Why you have color-coded these separate claim elements?

A We're going to be going through the operation of the patent and ultimately the Lawson system, and I thought that since these claim terms are recurring themes, it might be easier for us to understand it if they were color-coded. So, for instance, when we talk about having multiple product catalogs, I've coded the demonstratives in orange. And if we're talking about

- selecting product catalogs, I've colored them in green, and so on.
- Q You have consistently applied this color scheme to the claim elements throughout?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Now, you have conducted an analysis with respect
- 7 | to the 12 claims that are being asserted in this case
- 8 | in the three patents?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q What I'd just like to do is just walk through
- 11 | them. I think you had the same juror notebook that
- 12 | the jury has, but let's start with the first patent,
- 13 | the '683 patent, under tab 2 in the jury notebook, and
- 14 | you'll see there are yellow tabs on them. If we could
- 15 go to the first yellow tab, which is at column 25 in
- 16 Claim Three. Do you see that highlighted?
- 17 A Yes, I do.
- 18 | Q That's the same Claim Three that you have
- 19 | color-coded?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Also Claim 26 is at issue, correct?
- 22 A Yes, it is.
- 23 Q And Claim 28 is at issue, correct?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q And Claim 29 is at issue, correct?

522

1 A Correct.

- 2 Q Let's just turn back to Claim Three for a second.
- 3 Is that a system claim or is that a method claim?
  - A This is a system claim.
- Q Can you explain to the jury what you understand a
- 6 system claim to be?
- 7 A So this is a claim about how an electronic
- 8 sourcing system operates and the characteristics that
- 9 it has.

- 10 Q Is it the structure of the system?
- 11 A Yes, it is.
- 12 | Q Claim 26 says it's a method comprising the steps
- 13 of. Can you explain to the jury what your
- 14 understanding is of what a method claim is?
- 15 A The method claim is a process, and these are the
- 16 | elements of the claim that you see in 26 are the steps
- 17 | that an electronic sourcing system would take a
- 18 computerized system.
- 19 Q So these are steps that have to be performed,
- 20 | these are the steps that have to be completed to
- 21 perform the method?
- 22 A That is correct.
- 23 Q Claim 28 is a method claim?
- 24 ∥ A Yes, it is.
- 25 Q Claim 29 says, The method of Claim 28 further

523

comprising the step of determining whether a selected

2 matching item is available in inventory. Do you see

- 3 that?
- 4 | A I do.
- 5 Q What's your understanding of the nature and type
- 6 of Claim 29?
- 7 A Claim 29 is a dependent claim, and it depends upon
- 8 | Claim 28.
- 9 Q What does that mean in terms of our understanding
- 10 | of the analysis that you're going to conduct?
- 11 A That means that if we're to show infringement of
- 12 | Claim 29, we must also show infringement of Claim 28.
- 13 Q So in order to claim Claim 29 infringes, we'll
- 14 | have to establish that a Lawson system performs all
- 15 the steps of Claim 28 along with the steps that are in
- 16 Claim 29; is that correct?
- 17 A Yes, it is.
- 18 Q To prove Claim 28 infringes, do we have to prove
- 19 Claim 29 infringes?
- 20 A No.
- 21 | Q Can we prove that Claim 29 infringes without
- 22 proving Claim 28 infringes?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q Thank you.
- 25 Why don't we go to tab 3, which is the '516

524

1 patent, and you'll see that is tabbed as well. The

- 2 | first claim appears at column 23. Is that a system
- 3 claim or a method claim?
- 4 A This is a system claim.
- 5 Q And Claim Two is also at issue. Do you see that?
- 6 **|** A I do.
- 7 | Q Is that one of the dependent claims you have just
- 8 | explained?
- 9 A Yes, it depends on Claim One.
- 10 Q So we would need to establish that the accused
- 11 product that Lawson has all the elements of Claim One
- 12 | including the element of Claim Two if we were to
- 13 establish that Claim Two infringes; is that right?
- 14 A That's right.
- 15 Q Claim Six is also a dependent claim; is that
- 16 right?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q It depends from Claim One, do you see that?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q To prove that Claim Six infringes then, we'd have
- 21 | to prove all the elements of Claim One plus the
- 22 | additional element of Claim Six; is that right?
- 23 A Yes, it is.
- 24 Q Would we have to prove that Claim Two infringes in
- 25 order to prove that Claim Six infringes?

		WEAVER - DIRECT 525
1	A	No.
2	Q	Why is that?
3	А	Because Six is not dependent on Two.
4	Q	It's only dependent on Claim One?
5	A	It's only dependent on Claim One.
6	Q	Claim Nine, is that a dependent or independent
7	claim?	
8	A	Independent.
9	Q	Is that a system or method?
10	A	System.
11	Q	Okay. Claim 21 is also at issue. Do you see
12	that?	
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And Claim 22 is at issue?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Both are system claims. And, again, Claim 22 is
17	one	of those the dependent claims; is that correct?
18	A	Yes, it is.
19	Q	Claim 29 is at issue, and that is also a system,
20	correct?	
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Finally, under tab 4, we have the '172 patent.
23	Only one asserted claim here, and that is Claim One,	
24	and	that starts at column 23. Is that a system or a
25	met	hod claim, sir?

- A This is a system claim.
- 2 Q Does Claim One of the '172 patent actually recite
- 3 catalogs?

- $4 \parallel A$  No, it has a database rather than catalogs.
- 5 Q What does the database contain?
- 6 A It's data relating to items from multiple vendors.
- $7 \parallel Q$  So in that instance, would the Court's instruction
- 8 of catalog apply to Claim One of the '172 patent since
- 9 | it doesn't recite that claim term?
- 10 A No, it would not.
- 11 | Q And that would be for purposes of both
- 12 | infringement and invalidity, correct?
- 13 | A Correct.
- 14 | Q Why don't we take a look at the color-coded scheme
- 15 for Claim 28. That's 330193. So you have also this
- 16 | is a method claim that's in the '683 patent, and
- 17 | you've color-coded this as well; is that right?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q And the same color schemes for your Claim Three,
- 20 | which is the system claim, correlate to the steps of
- 21 | Claim 28?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Now, just referring back to Claim Three for a
- 24 minute, the electronic sourcing system, color-coded,
- 25 this has six elements, is that right, to comprise the

527 WEAVER - DIRECT electronic sourcing system? 1 2 That's right. It uses the term "comprising." Do you see that? 3 I do. Α 4 What is your understanding of what the term 5 6 "comprising" means? 7 Including but not limited to. When you say "including but not limited to," does 8 9 that mean that in order to prove infringement, all of these six elements need to be present in the accused 10 11 product, the Lawson S3 procurement system, but it may have additional elements and that doesn't avoid 12 infringement? 13 That's correct. 14 15 But we at least have to have these six; is that 16 right? That's correct. 17 But if I have 7, 8, 9 or even 100 additional 18 19 elements, is that relevant to the infringement 20 analysis? 21 No, only these six. Α 22 So if we establish these six, the fact there are 23 other elements, doesn't avoid infringement; is that

25 That's correct. Α

your understanding?

528

1 Q Could I just refer you back to the glossary, if I

2 could, as to the Judge's construction of "catalog."

- 3 Do you see that?
- 4 | A I do.
- 5 Q Why don't we just read it out loud if we could
- 6 because I'm going to have some questions about that?
- 7 A So a catalog or product catalog is defined to be
- 8 an organized collection of items and associated
- 9 information published by a vendor, which includes
- 10 | suppliers, manufacturers, and distributors, which
- 11 preferably includes a part number, price, catalog
- 12 number, vendor name, vendor ID, a textual description
- 13 of the item, and images of or relating to the item.
- 14 Q Now, it says "preferably includes." What is your
- 15 understanding as to what the Court mean when it said
- 16 | "preferably includes"?
- 17 A That these are examples of data items that might
- 18 be there.
- 19 Q Do they all have to be there under the Court's
- 20 construction?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q It also indicates that it is an organized
- 23 collection of items and associated information
- 24 published by a vendor. Do you see that?
- 25 A I do.

- 1 Q Does the Court's construction anywhere in it
- 2 | indicate who has to select this organized collection
- 3 of items to be included as a catalog?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q Does the Court's construction preclude anybody
- 6 from selecting this organized collection of items
- 7 available from vendors?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q The Court also indicates in here that there can be
- 10 | a textual description of the item. Do you see that?
- 11 | A Sure.
- 12 Q Does the Court's construction anywhere indicate
- 13 how detailed that description needs to be?
- 14 A No, it does not.
- 15 | Q You've worked with electronic procurement systems
- 16 | including the Lawson system, correct?
- 17 A Yes, I have.
- 18 Q Have you seen textual descriptions of the items in
- 19 | those systems?
- 20 A Many times.
- 21 ∥ Q There's a certain number of characters that you
- 22 can enter in the entry system in the Lawson accused
- 23 product. Do you understand that?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Does the Court's construction have anywhere in

1 there any kind of limitation on the number of

2 characters that can be included in the textual

- 3 description?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q So the Court's construction says you have to have
- 6 a textual description, but it doesn't specify the
- 7 detail that's required; is that right?
- 8 A Well, it doesn't even say you have to have a
- 9 textual description. It's an example of a field that
- 10 may be there, but there is no requirement as to things
- 11 | like the length of the description.
- 12 | Q It's one of those things the Court said preferably
- 13 should be there?
- 14 A That's right.
- 15 Q So then this organized collection of items and
- 16 | associated information, it can come from anywhere or
- 17 | be selected by anybody; is that right?
- 18 | A Yes.
- 19 Q Does the Court indicate in its definition of a
- 20 | product catalog that it has to include an entire
- 21 catalog?
- 22 A No.
- 23 | Q Does it preclude that a catalog could include a
- 24 subset of items?
- 25 A No, it says preferably.

531 WEAVER - DIRECT It's silent as to that; is that right? 1 2 Α Right. Agnostic? 3 Q Α Yes. 4 5 Thank you. 6 The Court's catalog construction also says that 7 this collection of items and associated information is published by a vendor. Do you see that? 8 9 I do. Α 10 Can you look through the glossary for me and find 11 where the Court construed "published by a vendor"? It's not there. 12 Α So the Court didn't construe "published by a 13 vendor" anywhere in this glossary or to your knowledge 14 15 in these proceedings; is that right? That's correct. 16 Α You were deposed in this case. They took your 17 testimony under oath? 18 19 Yes. Α 20 Do you recall being asked a question at your deposition as to what "published by a vendor" means? 21 22 Yes. Α 23 Did you have any guidance from the Court as to what "published by a vendor" means? 24 25 No. Α

Q So what did you do when you were asked that

- 2 | question? You gave a response, I assume?
- 3 A Yes, I gave a common sense answer.
- 4 Q What was that answer?
- 5 A That "published by a vendor" means that the data
- 6 is available from the vendor.
- 7 Q So the vendor either verbally or in writing, even
- 8 electronic writing, is the source of that information;
- 9 is that your understanding?
- 10  $\blacksquare$  A That's what I said.
- 11 Q That's your common sense understanding of what
- 12 | "published by a vendor" means?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 THE COURT: What does his common sense
- 15 understanding have to do with anything in the case?
- 16 MR. ROBERTSON: Well, at some point, Your
- 17 | Honor --
- 18 THE COURT: It has to be the understanding of
- 19 ∥ a person of ordinary skill in the art, doesn't it?
- 20 MR. ROBERTSON: Fair enough. Let me ask you
- 21 | that.
- 22 BY MR. ROBERTSON:
- 23 Q Would that be your understanding as to what the
- 24 understanding of a person of ordinary skill in the art
- 25 | would -- how they would construe "published by a

A Yes.

vendor"?

MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Just a minute. You have this glossary of terms here. How this came to happen is this. The law is that the terms as they are used in any patent is a matter for the Court to interpret if there's a dispute about what the terms mean.

At the beginning of the case, I told the parties, Tell me what terms you think are in dispute, and I then will interpret those terms if you can't agree upon them. And that's how it came to be that I, the Court, interpreted the claims. And that's why you're bound by the Court's interpretation. There was no interpretation, the record would reflect, of "published by a vendor" because nobody asked that there be an interpretation of "published by a vendor."

And the general rule is that a term that isn't defined by the Court has its ordinary and usual meaning. And in patent law that is the ordinary and usual meaning of a person who is of ordinary skill in the art. That's somebody whom they have defined for you yesterday that I believe have a college degree in computer sciences or electrical engineering or a like discipline and have a year or two of writing software

S - DIRECT 534

and understanding the electronic or working in the electronic procurement process.

So that's how we got -- that's what this is all about. And I'm beginning to believe that

Mr. Robertson may want to testify and that Dr. Weaver may want to be the judge, the way the questions are going. So I don't think he really wants that or you want that. So let's get on.

BY MR. ROBERTSON:

Q I just want to understand with respect to the catalog information that's preferably included under the Court's construction, what is you are understanding of what a person of ordinary skill in the art would understand as who would be the source of that information, the part number, the price, the vendor ID, etc.?

A A person of ordinary skill in the art would, it's in my opinion, that a person of ordinary skill in the art would believe that that data came from the vendor.

Q Can we show the short form of Claim Three. The color coded short version.

Doctor, here is a demonstrative created at your direction. What are you trying to illustrate here?

THE COURT: He keeps using the term "demonstrative," and I bet you don't use that in your

everyday vernacular. It's sort of a lawyer term. And it just simply means this is something that has been created to help show you things in a visual way and help you understand testimony.

The demonstrative is short for a demonstrative exhibit, but it really isn't something that you'll have as part of the record, but it is intended to help you use this and listen to the witness' testimony and follow along and better understand what's being said.

I guess we've all gone along to the point in our lives where, at least for some of us, visual learning is a help and an aid to oral understanding, and that's part of what this is all about. It's defined to help you. All right.

MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

- Q Sir, what are you trying to illustrate in this demonstrative?
- A I was trying to capture the essence of the claim elements and to present with each one an icon that would help us visually remember what they mean.
- Q So what are the icons here, if you could just --
- A So for the first one, maintaining at least two product catalogs, my icon is a set of catalogs that are electronic because they are embedded in a

536

computer. For the selecting the product catalogs of the three catalogs that I have shown, I've highlighted one, and it's bordered by red to indicate selected.

For the pink one, searching for matching items, I used a flashlight shinning into the database that's in the computer.

For building a requisition, I've got an icon of a requisition that would be created by the system.

And for the generating one or more purchase orders, I've got an icon of a purchase order that's being produced by the electronic system.

And for converting data, I've got an icon of two test tubes that are either similar or identical.

- Q Have you maintained the color scheme for this demonstrative as well?
- A Yes, this is the same as the long form of Claim Three.
- Q And you have a similar demonstrative for the method claim, Claim 26, of the '683 patent?
- A I do.
- 21 Q Do you have that on your screen, Doctor?
- 22 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Q Tell us what you're illustrating with this method claim. This has a new step I don't think we've seen before.

A That's right. That's the one at the bottom. So when we talk about maintaining at least two product catalogs, I've got the same icon of three catalogs stored electronically.

For selecting the product catalog, same icon. One of the catalogs is highlighted because it's been selected.

For matching items, still using the search light.

For building a requisition, still using a picture of a requisition.

For the purchase order, still using the icon of the purchase order.

And then the new one, determining availability in inventory, I'm trying to illustrate that we're looking into the records of how many items are on hand to determine whether a particular item that I might wish to order is available in a vendor's inventory.

- Q Now, Doctor, you indicated yesterday that you reviewed a number of the documents that were produced by Lawson in this case; is that right?
- A Yes.

- Q Does Lawson use the term "product catalog" in its literature?
  - A Yes, many times.
  - Q Have you made a determination in your review of

538

these documents whether Lawson uses that term, product catalog, consistently with the Court's definition?

A Yes, they do.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q Why don't we take a look at Plaintiff's Exhibit.

5 THE COURT: Excuse me.

MR. McDONALD: Your Honor, we're getting into the issue of catalogs. It's not so much an objection, but I request the Court to remind the jury about the use of the word catalogs by the witnesses versus the claim, use of the word catalogs in the claim at this point.

THE COURT: I think what he was saying, I think the question related to whether he understood the use of the word "catalog" in the Lawson literature that he had reviewed to be the same as the definition that the Court gave the term.

Wasn't that the question?

MR. ROBERTSON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't see that that instruction is required.

MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.

- Q Could we see Plaintiff's Exhibit 149, please.
- 23 | That is in Volume IV.

THE COURT: What is it that you're having him look at?

Case 3:09-cv-00620-REP Document 652 Filed 03/29/11 Page 25 of 71 PageID# 17432 539 WEAVER - DIRECT 1 MR. ROBERTSON: I was going to identify it 2 right now, Your Honor. THE COURT: What exhibit? 3 MR. ROBERTSON: 149. 4 THE CLERK: 149, plaintiff's, Your Honor. 5 6 MR. ROBERTSON: Plaintiff's Exhibit 149. 7 BY MR. ROBERTSON: Can you tell me what this is, Doctor? 8 9 This is a request -- excuse me. It's a response Α 10 to a request for information from Holland Hospital. 11 And it's a response that Lawson gave to a request for information? 12 13 Α That's correct. And this request for information, is that similar 14 15 in your understanding as to what these RFPs were you were describing yesterday? 16 17 Yes, they are. So was Lawson responding to information that 18 19 Holland Hospital was requesting? 20 Α Yes, they were. 21 Can we turn to the page in Exhibit 149 that ends 22 with the Bates label 759.

In the middle here under instructions for

there's A, B, C and D. Could you highlight that for

application, functional requirements questions,

23

24

us, please.

So these are instructions that Holland Hospital is giving to Lawson as to how they should indicate the availability of certain requirements in the Lawson system; is that your understanding?

A Yes.

Q So why don't you tell the jury what these various -- what A, B, C and D mean in Holland Hospital's requirements questions?

A So what Holland Hospital did was to produce a set of questions asking about, as we said yesterday, capabilities and functionality, and the requested response was first this letter coding, A, B, C, D. So if the response is A, as you can see in the legend here, then the functionality that's being requested is -- Lawson is saying it's available and currently installed.

If the response is B or C or D, then it's as you can read, under development or customized or simply not available.

- Q So A is available and currently installed; is that right?
- 23 A That's right.
  - Q Underneath that is a heading called "rating column." Could you highlight that for us, please?

541

1 And for each requirement listed Lawson was required to

rate the application's performance on a scale of 0 to

7 with 0 indicating no performance and 7 indicating

leading edge capabilities. Do you see that?

A Yes.

2

3

4

- 6 Q Could you turn to the page that ends with 767 in
- 7 | this response that Lawson gave to Holland Hospital.
- 8 Do you see the question No. 10?
- 9 A I sure do.
- 10 Q Can you tell us -- there it says, "ability to
- 11 produce supply catalogs by item number, manufacturer,
- 12 | vendor, class and inventory location. Do you see
- 13 | that?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And what was Lawson's response to this
- 16 requirement?
- 17 | A So the letter code is A, which, as we just saw,
- 18 meant that this is installed and available. And the
- 19 | numeric rating code is 7, which, as we just saw, meant
- 20 | leading edge capabilities.
- 21 | Q If we go back to the front page of Plaintiff's
- 22 | Exhibit 149 and just highlight the date for us,
- 23 please.
- 24 Was Lawson representing as of January 6, 2006,
- 25 | that it had the ability to produce supply catalogs by

1 item number, manufacturer, vendor, class and inventory
2 location, and that it was a leading edge capability?

A That's what this document says.

Q Is that description of its capability to produce these catalogs in your opinion consistent with the Court's definition of a catalog?

A Yes.

THE COURT: Now, ladies and gentlemen, just so you understand, he's not testifying about what Lawson intended when they used that term. He's testifying about his interpretation of what that term means as Lawson used it. He can't know what Lawson intended. Maybe if Lawson intended something else, they can put on somebody to testify that that's not what we intended, and you have to take that into account in deciding the case.

Is that the kind of instruction you wanted?

MR. McDONALD: Yes, Your Honor. That will be fine.

THE COURT: Mr. Robertson, how do we know that that response there has anything to do with the systems that are accused? They can be talking about the Lawson common fraud system for all I know, not that there is one of those. But do we have a basis for any of this? And have we yet told the jury what

the Lawson system is that's accused. It's System 3, isn't it? That's what it is, isn't it? Did he look at that?

MR. ROBERTSON: Let me ask you that, Doctor.

- Q What systems, what functionality, what modules did you look at with respect to the Lawson system when you rendered your opinions concerning infringement?
- A There's an S3 procurement system that has a series of modules, software programs inside. So there's a Lawson system foundation. There's a Lawson process flow. There's a requisition module, a purchase order module, and inventory control module. There are other modules that can sit on top of those like requisition, self service, a Punchout, which I'll explain later, and an EDI, electronic data interchange module.
- Q Could they be combined in various configurations?

  A They can. There are certain things that must be
- present and others that can optionally be hooked
- 19 together.

- Q Was it your understanding that Lawson in the response to the Holland Hospital --
- THE COURT: What was your understanding as to what Lawson used to prepare the response? Instead of leading him; ask him.
  - Q What was your understanding that Lawson was

Case 3:09-cv-00620-REP Document 652 Filed 03/29/11 Page 30 of 71 PageID# 17437 544 WEAVER - DIRECT proposing to Holland Hospital in response to its 1 2 request for information? 3 Α The S3 procurement system. In other words, they used the S3 THE COURT: 4 5 system in order to prepare that response; is that your 6 understanding? 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: What's a module? You used that 8 9 term. 10 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: What is a module as you used that term? 12 13 THE WITNESS: Oh, a module is a piece of software, and we call it a module because it fits 14 15 together with others. BY MR. ROBERTSON: 16 Dr. Weaver, if I could just take you back to 149, 17 Plaintiff's Exhibit 149, back to that page that was 18 19 759. And under the material management requirements

up at the top third bullet down, do you see that?

So the requirements are these three modules:

Were those the modules that you have looked at

Inventory control, purchasing, and requisitioning.

What is it that were the requirements?

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

Q

Yes.

with respect to conducting your infringement analysis among others?

A Yes.

THE COURT: I don't know that the doctor explained this and I just missed it. What was being requested, is your understanding, by Holland Hospital here? Was it being requested, for example, to give us a proposal for a system we could buy? Was it a proposal for you doing work using your system?

I think you-all may be more familiar,

Mr. Robertson, with the case than perhaps the jury and

I are, and it might be helpful to keep that in mind.

What do you understand, Dr. Weaver, the request for proposal was actually asking Lawson to provide after you read it?

THE WITNESS: Well, Your Honor, this was a request for information rather than request for proposal. So this is asking questions about what functionality and capabilities Lawson could provide. So depending upon the question, the answer might be that --

THE COURT: Excuse me. Lawson could provide by doing it or following up with it or Lawson could provide by giving them some software and letting them do it or what?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, that was exactly what my answer was going to be. Depending on the question, the response might be that Lawson would license a software module to the hospital, and the hospital personnel could run it and maintain it. Or perhaps Lawson would install it for them or perhaps Lawson would host it for them.

THE COURT: What does that mean? What does host it mean?

THE WITNESS: Host it means that the software that runs the system physically resides on a computer server, a big computer system, that Lawson itself owns and maintains so that it's always available.

THE COURT: But the hospital could use it?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it would be for the hospital's use.

MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you, Doctor.

BY MR. ROBERTSON:

Q Let me ask you to take a look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 219. It's in Volume V.

THE COURT: 319?

MR. ROBERTSON: 219, Your Honor.

BY MR. ROBERTSON:

- Q Doctor, tell us what Plaintiff's Exhibit 219 is.
- 25 | A The Scottsdale Unified School District wrote a

- request for proposal and this is Lawson's response to that RFP.
- $3 \parallel Q$  What is the date of this?
- 4 | A December 14, 2005.
- 5 Q Let me focus you then on -- well, what was your
- 6 understanding that the Scottsdale Unified School
- 7 District, No. 48, was requesting Lawson to make a
- 8 proposal for?
- 9 A Financial management software.
- 10 | Q Did it also include procurement software?
- 11 A Yes, it did.
- 12 | Q Can I direct you to the page that ends with the
- 13 | Bates label 687. And at the top of the page there's a
- 14 | heading called "Lawson's Procurement Suite." Do you
- 15 see that?
- 16 A I do.
- 17 | Q There's a subheading, "Purchase Order." Do you
- 18 see that?
- 19 A I do.
- 20 | Q Is the purchase order module one of the pieces of
- 21 software that you did some analysis with respect to
- 22 your infringement opinions?
- 23 A Yes, it's one of those modules.
- 24 Q And what does Lawson represent here, focusing now
- 25 on about --

MR. ROBERTSON: Starting at the beginning down to about "receiving goods," midway through that paragraph, if you could highlight that for me, Mike. The entire paragraph.

Q What is Lawson indicating here in response to this request for proposal as to the purchase order module of its procurement suite?

A So after the words "Lawson's purchase order," it says that it streamlines the procurement process from establishing vendor pricing, agreements, and contracts, importing and maintaining item information, creating and issuing purchase orders to receiving goods.

- Q And purchase order is a subject of the claims that are at issue in this case?
- 16 A Yes.

- Q What does Lawson say there with respect to the advantage of this purchase order module that they are offering?
  - A That it's going to improve efficiency.
- 21 Q How is it going to do that?
- 22 A By automating this process of putting everything on a computer.
- Q All right. Can you explain to the jury what this vendor price agreement is that's referenced here?

A Sure. The vendor price agreement is a contractual agreement between Lawson's customer and a particular vendor that the vendor is going to supply a set of items at a fixed cost or at a particular cost.

Q It references here to importing item information.

What's your understanding with respect to what importing item information means in Plaintiff's

8 Exhibit 219, this response to an RFP?

A When you have a database of information, it has to be filled. We call it populated. You populate the database. And the way that or one of the ways that you can do that is to bring in data and put it into the format that is appropriate for the database. And that process is called importing. Importing data into the database.

Q Can you turn to the next page, please. And on this page it's entitled, Requisitions. Do you see that?

A Yes.

Q Is that one of the software modules that you examined in order to determine your make your infringement analysis?

A Yes, it's part of the procurement suite.

Q At the bottom there's a heading that says, Several Features of Lawson's Requisition Include. Do you see

550

1 | that?

2

A I do.

- 3 | Q There are six bullet points, I believe. Can you
- 4 ∥ focus us in on the bullet points you think are
- 5 | significant to your opinions?
- 6 A The two that I think are important here are the
- 7 | first bullet point, custom catalogs and templates.
- 8 And the fourth one; stock, nonstock, special items or
- 9 services on a single requisition.
- 10 Q Why do you find those significant?
- 11 A Because these relate to the patent claims.
- 12 | Q In what way?
- 13 A In that the patent talks about being able to have
- 14 | electronic catalogs, and that as items are picked on a
- 15 | hit list, then it becomes ultimately an order list, a
- 16  $\parallel$  chosen set of items. That ultimately becomes a
- 17 | requisition. And that is what the requisitioning
- 18 system in Lawson does.
- 19 Q It indicates you can have stock, nonstock, special
- 20 | items or services on a single requisition. Is that
- 21 | significant in your analysis?
- 22 | A Oh, yes. If you recall from yesterday, when I was
- 23 | starting my computer lab back in the '70s, the
- 24 purchasing specialist and I had to write -- had to
- 25 | take my list of wants and divide that so that we were

sending requisitions to an individual vendor to get quotes. So it's a convenience and a time saver and a cost saver if I can put all of the items that I want to order on a single requisition.

And then in this case because it's computerized, the purchase order module can look at the requisition and look at that single requisition and divide it into purchase orders automatically. So the single requisition is a big deal.

- Q What's a nonstock item, sir?
- 11 A Well, that's something that the company does not
  12 have in stock and so it's bought from an external
  13 vendor.
  - Q This ability to buy various items from various vendors and place them on a single requisition, is that included in any of the claim elements that you have examined?
  - A Yes, it is.
- 19 Q Can you give us just an example. Can I look at 20 Claim Three of the '683 patent?
- 21 | A Sure.

- $\parallel$  Q Tell us where that particular feature is.
- A Sure. That is being color-coded in blue. Means
  for building a requisition using data related to
  selected matching items and their associated sources.

Q So we could have a requisition with matching items
from more than one source; is that right?

A That's right.

3

- 4 | Q Is that consistent with the representation that
- 5 Lawson is making in its response to a request for
- 6 proposal on page 868 of Plaintiff's Exhibit 219?
- 7 A Yes, it is. It's talking about nonstock items on
- 8 a single requisition.
- 9 Q Can you take a look at the next page titled
- 10 | "Inventory Control." Is the inventory control module
- 11 one of the modules you examined in conducting your
- 12 | infringement analysis?
- 13 A Yes, it is a third module in the procurement
- 14 | suite.
- 15 | Q Is there anything you'd like to direct us to here?
- 16 What does the inventory control module permit you to
- 17 do?
- 18 A Yes. The introductory sentence there explains
- 19 what's going on. Inventory control enables you to
- 20 | effectively monitor and manage inventory throughout
- 21 | the organization. It's flexible design and complete
- 22 | integration with requisitions and purpose order
- 23 applications help facilitate a smooth flow of
- 24 | information and products.
- 25 So, again, this inventory control is one of the

three main software modules in the procurement suite along with requisitions that we just looked at, and before that, the purchase order module.

Q Could we go to, in 219, could we go to the page that ends in 013? It's actually PX 219 at 0179. Let me make sure I've got it. Thank you. Okay.

There's a series of questions here. Let me just go to the beginning of it. They start at page 177 of this exhibit. Do you see it says,

Requisition/purchase order process, No. 9, at the top there?

- A I'm sorry. What page?
- Q 177 of 180. It's in the middle at the bottom there.
- 15 A Page 177?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16 Q Yes, sir. It ends with 0119011.

17 THE COURT: What do you mean ends with?

MR. ROBERTSON: Excuse me?

THE COURT: It ends? What's the "it"?

MR. ROBERTSON: The Bates number, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The lower number in the number is

a Bates number, and what number is that one?

MR. ROBERTSON: This is exhibit number --

THE COURT: No, what number is the Bates

number? Sorry.

554 WEAVER - DIRECT MR. ROBERTSON: 1 9011. 2 THE COURT: Can you find that, Doctor? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. That's my page 180. BY MR. ROBERTSON: 4 So there's a heading 9 there called 5 6 Requisition/purchase order process. Do you see that? 7 Right. I've got it now. Α Well, there are a number of questions that are 8 9 being asked under that heading, correct? 10 Α Right. 11 And Lawson is giving a number of responses. 12 you see that? 13 Α I do. Okay. Can you go now to page 179 of 180 or where 14 15 it ends with the Bates label 9013, and there's a question G. Do you see that? 16 I do. 17 Now, confirm for me that we're still talking about 18 the requisition/purchase order process questions that 19 20 are being asked by Scottsdale Unified School District? 21 Α Yes. 22 Okay. There's a question there: Does the system 23 allow for a catalog of preapproved items for the 24 requisitioners to choose from. Do you see that? 25 Α I do.

1 Q What was Lawson's response?

2 A Individual departments and users can establish

3 custom catalogs that reflect their unique ordering

4 | patterns. Furthermore, you can establish catalogs for

certain days of the week by item classification,

6 vendor, or other criteria.

- 7 | Q Turning back to that Claim Three demonstrative we
- 8 | have, the first element says at least two product
- 9 catalogs. Do you see that?
- 10 A I do.

5

- 11 0 Can there be more than two?
- 12 | A Oh, yes.
- 13 Q But there must be a minimum of two?
- 14 A That's right.
- 15 Q When we're talking about these, the claim element
- 16 | three, which says, Means for selecting product
- 17 | catalogs to search, just explain what your
- 18 | understanding of that is from the perspective of a
- 19 person of ordinary skill in the art.
- 20 A This means that there must be a user interface
- 21 | capability that allows a user to select one or more of
- 22 | the catalogs that are available in the system.
- 23 | Q Can we go to -- this is demonstrative 093, page 1.
- 24 Side by side. This is the short form color-coded
- 25 demonstrative. Can you put that next to the 093, page

2?

You mention the term "user interface," Doctor. What did you mean by that term?

A That's a computer science term of art and it means the way that the computer program is going to converse, as it were, with the human user. And if you're familiar with Google or Yahoo, you're familiar with a text box where you type in. In Google, you're typing in a search query. But that text box is an example of a user interface.

If you're represented with a drop down menu and you click on the top element, the drop down menu opens up, and you can scroll down and pick one of those elements.

If you have a selection to make and you have a series of radio buttons, then you can click on one button, and you have made a selection of one out of however many choices there are.

If you double click on a hyperlink, then that user interface element is directing the browser to go to another page. So --

Q Let me stop and ask you what a hyperlink is?

A Hyperlink is an encoding within a web page that says -- that redirects the browser to a different page when you click on it.

Q Sir, with respect to this claim element, for purposes of the jury's determination, what is relevant to your determination in their assessment of whether infringement occurred?

A It's whether or not that user interface exists in the Lawson system.

Q And in your analysis of the Lawson system, does that, in fact, exist?

A Yes. I'm going to demonstrate that later.

Q This claim element we've been looking at for the means of selecting the product catalogs to search, does the claim require that the user select multiple product catalogs to search simultaneously?

A No, not simultaneously. You could search one catalog and then search another one. So a serial search would satisfy this claim element.

Q Going back to the third element of Claim Three, which recites means for searching for matching items among the selected product catalogs, and you have illustrated this in your diagram. What's your understanding of how the system needs to perform in order to accomplish that element?

A Well, if you're going to search, then you need a search program. And the search program has to have input, a query, so it knows what to search for. And

so if you have a search program and if the user can input a search request, then that search program can identify matching items, items that match the query term among the selected product catalogs.

You could also do it not only with textual search, but with drop down menus. You could search that way, too.

Q You used the term "drop down menu." Could you just explain what you mean when you use that term?

A Yes. So in building a web page, this is done using hypertext markup language, HTML, and there's a standard construct there that's a drop down menu. So you program this so when this is displayed to the user, there is a top level catagory, and it typically says "select." And if you click on select, then the menu opens up. It drops down and a series of choices are presented. And then you can take the mouse and pick one of those.

The most insidious of these is when you're trying to fill in your address and the choice is state. You click on state, and all the 50 states fill up your whole screen, and you have got to go pick one.

Q In one of these demonstrations you're going to do on the Lawson accused product, will we see this drop down menu?

A We sure will.

Q The next element of Claim Three, which is color-coded blue and has this means for building a requisition using data relating to selected matching

5 items and their associated sources, what's your

understanding as to what a requisition is?

7 A The requisition is the formal list of items that 8 you wish to purchase.

Q Moving on to the next element of Claim Three, which is yellow in your illustration. It says, A means for processing the requisition to generate one or more purchase orders for the selected matching items. You mention the term "purchase order" when you were discussing requisitions. How does a purchase order differ from a requisition?

A The requisition is the list of things you want. A purchase order is the contract vehicle for buying. So when I have a purchase order and I send it to a company, this is the legal document that says I want to buy the item or items on this purchase order.

Requisition is your total list of things you'd like to buy. Purchase orders go to individual companies.

Q When you're providing your understanding of the definitions and the meanings of these terms, is that

the same understanding as a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time?

A Yes.

Q So how would the fifth element of Claim Three be satisfied?

A We would have to see a requisition module that can take the formal requisition, which could have many items from many vendors, and then turn that into one or more purchase orders. And, typically, you have all the items from one vendor on one purchase order if you can do it. If they are present.

Q Moving on to the sixth and last element of Claim
Three, which you have color-coded brown. That element
recites means for converting data relating to a
selected matching item and an associated source to
data relating to an item and a different source. How
are we to understand that claim element?

A So if I have a list of items and for some reason -- let's say I want to do comparison shopping or say that the item that I want, I've checked the inventory, and it's not available. So there has to be a converting means whereby I can look for similar items, and this is all computer assisted. I can find similar items that I might choose instead of the one that I had initially inquired about.

561

MR. McDONALD: Your Honor, I'm going to

object to this question about this. This is a

means-plus-function clause and he's asking him what it

means. It should be done in the context of the --

THE COURT: I was just looking at page 2 of the glossary. I think that's been defined over there.

MR. ROBERTSON: I was just going to ask him to go to that page.

THE COURT: Don't be having him give his own constructions, please, before you ask him to go to the ones that have been construed.

- BY MR. ROBERTSON:
- 13  $\parallel$  Q If you go to page 2 of the Court's glossary, Dr.
- 14 Weaver.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q What's the function that's being defined here on
- 17 the means for converting data for this claim element?
- 18 A The function of this element is converting data
- 19 related to a selected matching item and an associated
- 20 source.
- Q According to the Court, how can this function be accomplished? By what structure?
- 23 A The corresponding structures, materials or acts of
- this element are disclosed as one or more non-catalog
- 25 databases identifying cross-referenced items,

system.

identical items, or generally equivalent items; one or more cross-reference tables or file identifying cross-referenced items, identical items, or generally equivalent items; one or more codes corresponding to cross-referenced items, identical items or generally equivalent items; and their equivalents.

Q In that definition there are non-catalog databases identifying cross-referenced items, identical items or generally equivalent items, cross-reference tables or files and one or more codes.

As a computer scientist, can you tell us what your understanding as a person of ordinary skill in the art would understand those three terms to mean?

A Sure. So a non-catalog database is a file that is not part of the physical structure of the database

So it's an external file.

In this context, it's identifying the cross-referenced items. So, for instance, we might have a vendor -- think of a file that has records.

Think of that as a row in a table. We might have one vendor's part number and a second vendor's part number in that row. And if this is in a cross-reference index that indicates in this context that those two part numbers are identical or generally equivalent -- let's see. What was the next one? Okay.

Cross-reference tables or files. So this is a larger structure, but it contains that same type of information. Vendor part No. 1 is equivalent to this other vendor part No. 2.

And by equivalent, I mean identical or generally equivalent.

And then by codes, this means that there is an understood structure, understood by the computer and perhaps by the human inputting these codes as to what the codes mean. So in one case --

MR. McDONALD: Your Honor, I'm going to object. I don't think his interpretation of codes is in his report.

## BY MR. ROBERTSON:

- Q Doctor, did you do analysis of whether or not the Lawson system employs codes for performing this cross-referencing capability or this means for converting data as the Court has construed the claim?
- 19 A Yes, it does.
  - Q What type of codes?
- A That particular code is called a UNSPSC code,
  United Nations Standard Products and Services Codes.
  - Q We're going to come back to that, but could you just briefly explain to the jury what type of code that is.

THE COURT: Why don't you tell me where it is here because that's what the objection is.

564

MR. McDONALD: He's talking about UNSPSC codes, Your Honor. I will agree that's in there.

THE COURT: The objection is withdrawn.

MR. ROBERTSON: Thank you.

- Q In examining this kind of cross-referencing or converting capability, is it described in the patent?
- 9 A Yes.

accomplished?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

16

23

24

25

- Q Why don't we use the '683 patent, if we can, and go to column 16. That's at tab 2 in the juror notebook specifically at about lines 19 through 27.
- What's the example being given here as how this
  cross-referencing or converting process for finding
- identical or generally equivalent items can be
- 17 A The general idea here is that a particular part

  18 number has been entered into this electronic sourcing

  19 system and that particular part number is not

  20 available, but the cross-referencing system using the

  21 cross-reference index finds that another vendor's part

  22 number is the same or generally equivalent, and it

substitutes the second part number for the first.

Q Why don't we go to a different claim now, Claim 26 of the '683 patent. And I want to focus on this last

565

element. This determining whether selected matching items is available in inventory. Can you tell us how

- 3 the patent describes that process?
- 4 A When the customer service representative who is
- 5 using the system does a search for an item, one of the
- 6 functions that is supported here is inquiring about
- 7 | what quantity of those items is available in the
- 8 inventory database. And so if you inquire and you get
- 9 back a quantity of zero or if you get back a quantity
- 10 that's less than the number you want, you know that
- 11 | those items are not available in inventory.
- 12 | Q You indicated there could be a CSR. That's a
- 13 customer service representative. Does it have to be
- 14 | in the patent?
- 15 A No, that's just an example.
- 16 Q The claim itself doesn't recite whoever the user
- 17 is, does it?
- 18 | A No.
- 19 Q I'd like to talk a little bit now about sort of a
- 20 | general overview of the Lawson accused systems and
- 21 | methods that you have examined as part of your
- 22 | analysis. Would that be all right?
- 23 A Sure.
- 24 | Q Do we have a demonstrative that you have prepared
- 25 | as to what you consider the procurement system in the

## 566 WEAVER - DIRECT various modules to be? 1 2 We do. Α Now, this was prepared at your direction? 3 Α Yes. 4 5 What are you intending to illustrate here, sir? 6 I'm trying to show the various modules and 7 components that are in the Lawson system, and I've tried to map them by color to the claims of the '683, 8 9 Claim 26. By the way, Doctor, is your touch screen working? 10 11 Yes. So you might want to, if you need to, you can 12 13 utilize that capability. I can use my pointer. 14 15 All right. So I'm sorry. I interrupted you. You 16 have got a catalog database icon there. Do you see that? 17 Right here. So this is the set of catalogs in 18 electronic form. 19 20 Actually is that the user sitting at the computer? Do you see the catalog database? 21 22 Α Yes. 23 Where? Q

- 24 You're talking about this one.
- 25 Q Yes, sir.

- 1 A Yeah, okay. So the catalog database is the
- 2 electronic form of the catalogs all put together so
- 3 | that they can be searched. That is the catalog
- 4 database.
- 5 Q Does the Lawson procurement system include a
- 6 database in its inventory control module?
- 7 A Yes, it does.
- 8 Q Can supplier product catalog be loaded into that
- 9 control module?
- 10 A Yes, we'll see that.
- 11 | O What's the selection icon?
- 12 A Of all the catalogs that are in the database, the
- 13 user interface provides a way to select one or more
- 14 | that are going to be searched.
- 15 | Q Now, you have all of these modules I see here
- 16 | within a gray box. What are you trying to illustrate
- 17 | there?
- 18 A The gray box is the Lawson system.
- 19 | Q And these are the various components?
- 20 | A These are components, modules.
- 21 | Q There's an icon there for searching for matching
- 22 items. Do you see that?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q What did you intend to illustrate there?
- 25 A Using the user interface, one engages a search

- 1 program and gives it a search query or initiates a
- 2 search using a characteristic of a drop down menu.
- 3 And the search engine then engages and returns items
- 4 | that match the query.
- 5 Q Did you examine a Lawson software program that
- 6 permits a user of a Lawson system to perform that
- 7 | functionality?
- 8 A Yes, the requisitioning system does that.
- 9 Q You have building a requisition icon here. Do you
- 10 see that?
- 11 | A Yes.
- 12 | Q Please explain what you're intending to illustrate
- 13 there?
- 14 A So in the Lawson system you build a shopping cart,
- 15 | then you add and delete items from it until you're
- 16 | satisfied with it. And then you do a checkout from
- 17 | the Lawson system. And that engages the requisition
- 18 system and builds the requisition of all the items
- 19 | that you want to order.
- 20 | Q Are you familiar with the term "a shopping cart"?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 | Q Is that consistent with your understanding of
- 23 | building a requisition?
- 24 A Well, it's not the requisition. It's the data
- 25 structure that can be modified. You can add and

delete to it. So in computer terminology, we call

2 this a cache, a C-A-C-H-E. So it's a data structure

3 | that holds data, and then it's going to be transferred

4 | to the requisition module, and it's in the requisition

module that the requisition is created.

- 6 Q All right. Thank you for that correction. So is
- 7 | it consistent with an order list?
- 8 A The order list is the shopping cart and that's
- 9 what becomes the requisition.
- 10  $\parallel$  Q Did the Court define what an order list is in its
- 11 glossary of claim terms?
- 12 | A Yes. A list of desired catalog items.
- 13 | Q Did you apply that construction in doing your
- 14 | infringement analysis?
- 15 A Absolutely.
- 16 Q Next you have an icon for generating purchase
- 17 orders. Do you see that as part of the overview of
- 18 | the Lawson procurement system?
- 19 A Yes.

5

- 20 Q Can you explain that process here?
- 21 | A So we've got our requisition. This is our formal
- 22 | list of the things we want to buy. It might have one
- 23 | item. It might have a hundred items. The items might
- 24 be from one vendor or they might be from 100 vendors.
- 25 | Whatever that requisition says, the purchase order

module takes that requisition and typically pulls out all of the requisition items that are going to be ordered from a single vendor and creates a purchase order for that vendor. Then it pulls all the items that go to another vendor and creates a separate purchase order for the second vendor and so on until all the items in the requisition have appeared in some purchase order.

- Q Did you do analysis of any Lawson software program or module that performs that functionality?
- A Yes, we're going to see that, and it's going to be the Lawson P.O. 100 program. Their purchase order program that converts a requisition into one or more purchase orders.
- Q Now, you've illustrated a number of arrows between these various software programs or modules that you've identified as part of the overall Lawson infringing system. What are you intending to indicate by those arrows?
- A Well, the arrows with the single head indicate unit directional information flow. The arrows that are double-headed indicate bidirectional data flow back and forth.
- So, for instance, the arrow here between selection and searching, you use that user interface to engage

the search engine. That's one-way data flow.

Down here, for instance, purchase orders go out to the Internet, but responses come back. So there's bidirectional data flow there.

Q You've got outside of the Lawson system you've got this little cloud that you have illustrated that has Internet in it. What are you attempting to illustrate there?

A Well, the cloud is the classic icon for the Internet, meaning lost of networks, lots of computers, you don't necessarily know whether they are, you don't usually care, but the computer can by sending addresses through the Internet can arrive at a particular destination. So here Bio-Rad is an example of a vendor.

So by using this route I can send information to the vendor. When the vendor gets that purchase order, it can send a purchase order acknowledgment back to the Lawson software.

Q Is there a software module or software program that you did an analysis of that permits the Lawson system, the accused system, to employ the Internet to go out to vendors and obtain information, do searches, and then return data to complete requisitions and purchase orders?

572

A Yes. There's a system called Punchout that we'll see that allows us to access vendors. There's also an electronic data interchange software module that allows us to send purchase orders and get purchase order responses.

- Q You used the term "Punchout." Is that the term that Lawson uses for its software module?
- 8 A Yes, this is their term.
- 9 Q Have you seen that term "Punchout" employed in other procurement systems?
- 11 A Yes, it's a common term of art.
- 12 Q Now, what's your understanding as to what is meant
  13 when they use the term "Punchout"?
  - A So there's the Lawson system. The user engages the Lawson system and using the capabilities of the Lawson system goes to a vendor website, one that's been created for this customer. And so this idea of looking at an external vendor's specialized website is called punching out of the Lawson system.
  - Q Have you done a demonstration of that using a Lawson system?
- 22 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q When this purchase order response comes back from a supplier, what types of information can be in it that might be useful to the user in making its

selection and determination as to what it might want to purchase?

A So when we use the Punchout capability, some of these vendors support the capability of reporting whether the item that you want is available in inventory. And so we can see in what's called the Punchout response, we see on a web page displayed in the Lawson system whether or not the item is available in inventory.

And if we're using the electronic data interchange module, the purchase order goes to a vendor, and the vendor can reply, and the purchase order responds as to whether that item is available in inventory.

- Q So you have this software module within the Lawson system about determining availability and inventory.

  Do you see that?
- 17 A Right here, yes.

Q I think you may have touched on it, but can you tell us the ways in which this accused Lawson system can satisfy the element of determining the availability of inventory within its accused system?

A Yes. So using the Punchout system, I can look into the external catalog of a vendor. And if this vendor supports this capability, I can determine whether the item I want to order is available in

inventory. That's onel way.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

The other way is this purchase order being sent to a vendor and the purchase order response coming back there's two ways to do that.

- Q Are you familiar with the term EDI?
- A Electronic data interchange.
- Q Can you explain to the jury what that is?
- 8 A So this was big in the 1970s and has gotten even
- 9 bigger today. When companies want to communicate with
- 10 each other -- well, let me start with individuals. If
- 11 | you and I want to exchange information, a typical way
- 12 | would be email. So email works for individuals, but
- 13 | it isn't structured. You don't know what's going to
- 14 be in the email.
- So electronic data interchange is a set of
- 16 | standardized forms like purchase order, purchase order
- 17 response, invoice, advance ship notification. And
- 18 what is standardized about them is the information
- 19 that's in it is in a particular place and it's of a
- 20 particular length and it's of a particular type of
- 21 data so that a computer then doesn't have any problem
- 22 | figuring out what it means.
- 23 | So that's what EDI does. It exchanges information
- 24 | in a structured formal way between companies.
- 25 | Q You indicated that EDI has been available since

the '70s, but the overall components of this system, they haven't been available since the '70s, have they?

A I don't think so.

Q And you can take known technology and combine it to come up with something new and useful; is that right, Doctor?

A Sure.

Q The converting icon, I think you talked a little bit about this, but in the Lawson system, how do they perform this functionality of the conversion to find similar, identical or generally equivalent items?

A I mentioned these UNSPSC codes. So I'll explain later in detail what they mean, but the gist of it is that by using an 8-digit code, you are drilling down to what's going to be called the commodity level of information. And if multiple items have this same 8-digit code, then by the definition of the code they are generally equivalent and substitutable.

So the Lawson system uses this UNSPSC code in order to accomplish that task.

Q So now that you have discussed sort of the overall functionality of the system in general terms and how it can perform it, you identified various software programs or modules that Lawson offers to do that functionality. Can they be configured in various

1 ways?

A Yes. Certain modules are required and certain modules are optional.

Q Did you prepare a demonstrative to show how these various Lawson procurement S3 modules can be -- these components can build to an infringing system?

A Yes, I have several demonstratives that build on each other to illustrate how the software modules build on each other.

Q Let's go to the first demonstrative you have. And this one is entitled "Lawson's electronic sourcing systems." And you have a yellow box there. What is that?

A So as the name suggests, the platform technology foundation contains the modules that have to be in a functioning Lawson system.

Two of those are the Lawson system foundation, which is, again, a set of common computer implemented activities that every software module is going to need. For instance, communication with other modules.

The process flow is a module that controls and directs the approval process. So when a requisition comes in, typically a manager approves it, and that approval process is done by the process flow module.

So these two are required for all of the S3

1 procurement systems.

2 Q In your analysis and review of the documents and

3 the deposition testimony, did you make a determination

4 | that these foundational software modules were required

as part of the Lawson infringing system?

6 A Yes, in the documentation that I read it was very

clear that the Lawson system foundation, LSF, had to

8 be installed before you could install the modules of

the S3 procurement system. Likewise, the process flow

had to be there as well.

11 Q In your report, you called the Lawson system

foundation a prerequisite module. What did you mean

by that?

5

7

9

10

12

13

15

17

18

20

25

14 A The LSF must be there before you can load the

modules that are the procurement suite.

16 | Q In order to purchase the procurement suite

license, the procurement suite, does a customer of

Lawson have to license this Lawson system foundation

19 and process flow?

A That's what the documentation says.

21 ∥ Q Well, I think you touched on the process flow

22 | already, but let's take a look, if we can, at the

23 | Lawson requisition self service installation guide,

24 | which is PX 131. It's in binder 3, Dr. Weaver.

Is this document is entitled, "Lawson requisitions

578

Self Service Installation Guide." Did you review this 1 2 as part of your preparation for your expert report?

Yes, I did. Α

3

- So what is this document? 4
- 5 This document explains to the customer how they 6 should go about installing this requisition self 7 service module. We're going to call it the RSS.
- If we could go to bar code 4. It's item 4 of this 8 9 document. There's a box entitled, "System
- 10 Requirements" there. Do you see that?
- 11 I do.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

- Where is the information relevant to the Lawson 12 13 system foundation here?
  - It says that the following software and hardware requirements must be met before you install the And then in the table below, the first row product. says, "Lawson system foundation."
    - Okay. So before you can install Lawson's requisition self service, one of the requirement components is the Lawson system foundation; is that right?
- 22 That's what this says.
  - MR. McDONALD: Your Honor, I object. little unclear at this point because requisition self service is a different module from the ones we were

talking about earlier. So I would object as ambiguous.

MR. ROBERTSON: It's one of the component modules that Dr. Weaver has already mentioned. We can go back and finish building the blocks if you'd like.

Q Let's go back to your building components, if we could. Then we can circle back.

So you have got your platform technology here of the Lawson system foundation and the process flow. What is the next software module or program that you need in order to have an infringing system?

A The procurement modules that are needed for an infringing system include purchase order, requisitions and inventory control. These are the three that we just saw in the previous documents. And for clarification, the requisition self service is going to sit on top of this.

Q But at this point, let's go back, at this point with this platform and these three modules, does that comprise an infringing system?

A Yes, it does.

Q And you're going to discuss in detail the purchase order module, the requisitions module, and the inventory control module in the context of Lawson's documents and witness testimony?

580

- A Yes, I am.
- 2 Q Well, let's go to the next build then. So the
- 3 next thing you placed on top of this platform here
- 4 we're building is this module or software program
- 5 called "requisition self service." Do you see that?
- 6 **|** A I do.

- 7 | Q Why did you do that? Why did you make the
- 8 | arrangement like this?
- 9 A Because the requisition self service is a module
- 10 | that is modern and user friendly. So it has a web
- 11 | based interface. But it uses the functionality of the
- 12 | requisition module below it.
- 13 So requisition self service can be installed only
- 14  $\parallel$  if you already have the requisition module beneath it.
- 15 So you can think of, in software terms, the
- 16 requisition module provides a certain set of
- 17 | capabilities and functionalities, and requisition self
- 18 service is a user friendly overlay on top of that.
- 19 Q Does it permit more end users to utilize the --
- 20 A Yes. By user friendly, this means that you don't
- 21 need as much training. You don't need to be as much
- 22 of a specialist. It's more for the guy on the street.
- 23 Q Does the requisition self service permit Lawson to
- 24 distribute the functionality for this electronic
- 25 sourcing and procurement to a greater number of

581

1 individuals within a company, for example?

- 2 A Yes, it does.
- 3 Q Do you know whether or not Lawson when it licenses
- 4 | this requisition self service module, licenses it on
- 5 the basis of the number of potential users of that
- 6 software module?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Do they?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Just so I'm clear, the requisition self service
- 11 | module can't work without the requisition module that
- 12 | sits upon the Lawson system foundation and process
- 13 | flow flat on technology foundation. Is that what
- 14 | you're indicating?
- 15 A Yes, that's correct.
- 16 Q Is there another module that you did an analysis
- 17 | of?
- 18 A Yes. So there's a Punchout module, which I kind
- 19  $\parallel$  of indicated for. It sits on top of requisition self
- 20 | service.
- 21 | Q Are we going to see some documentation and have
- 22 | you reviewed some testimony in which the purchase
- 23 | order requisitions and inventory control modules that
- 24 are all the S3 procuring modules require the Lawson
- 25 system foundation?

582 WEAVER - DIRECT Yes. 1 Α 2 Do they? 3 Α They do. So just going back then to Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 No. 131, which was the --5 6 THE COURT: Go back to the other one just a 7 minute. Are you saying that you can't use the 8 9 procurement Punchout without also using the RSS, the 10 S3, and the foundation? THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 11 12 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. I was going to come to that, 13 MR. ROBERTSON: Your Honor, but thank you. 14 BY MR. ROBERTSON: 15 16 Let's go back to that for a second since the Court has raised the question. 17 18 So if I'm going to have procurement Punchout, that 19 capability to go out over the Internet and go to 20 individual vendors for specially created Lawson vendor 21 website in order to do my shopping, I must have 22 licensed requisition self service, the three S3 23 procurement modules, and the platform technology 24 foundation including Lawson's system foundation and 25 process flow; is that right?

- 1 A That's correct.
- 2 Q Is that reflected in the documents and the
- 3 | testimony that you have seen?
- 4 A Yes, it is.
- 5 Q And just so we're clear, procurement Punchout
- 6 sitting alone, can it perform the functionality of
- 7 going out over the Internet to individual vendors in
- 8 order to do this shopping function?
- 9 A No.
- 10 | Q Requisition self service sitting alone without the
- 11 | S3 procurement modules and the platform technology,
- 12 can it perform any of the functionality that's
- 13 described in the patents?
- 14 | A No.
- 15 Q Let me just be clear then. So Punchout
- 16 | procurement alone in your opinion doesn't infringe any
- 17 of the claims of the patent?
- 18 A Not by itself.
- 19 Q Well, requisition self service alone doesn't
- 20 | infringe any of the claims of the patent?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q If we could go back to just the yellow and blue.
- 23 | In this configuration, are you going to have opinions
- 24 with respect to whether or not the functionality
- 25 provided by the software here, the capability of the

- 1 | software infringes the claims of the patent?
- 2 | A I'm going to have an opinion on that.
- 3 Q Why don't you just preview that opinion?
- 4 And my opinion is that this is an instance of an
- 5 | infringing system.
- 6 Q Let's add the next module. Is this going to be
- 7 | also instances of infringing activity under the claims
- 8 at issue here?
- 9 A Yes, it is.
- 10 Q Let's add the next module. Is this also going to
- 11 be instances of infringing activity under the claims
- 12 | that are at issue here?
- 13 | A Yes.
- 14 | Q Let's add the next module. Here's a module that
- 15 | sits on these S3 procurement modules called the
- 16 electronic data interchange. You talked a little bit
- 17 ∥ about that. Is that a module that Lawson offers as
- 18 part of its infringing system?
- 19 A Yes, it is.
- 20 | Q And that is sitting on top of your S3 procurement
- 21 modules and your platform technology modules. Do you
- 22 | see that?
- 23 🛮 A That's right.
- 24 Q With just the electronic data interchange and the
- 25 S3 procurement modules and the platform technology

```
585
                      WEAVER - DIRECT
    foundation, can that be an instance of infringing
 1
 2
    activity under the asserted claims?
        Yes, it can.
 3
    Α
        Again, just to be clear, though, because this can
 4
 5
    be a little confusing. I don't need all of these
 6
    modules in order to infringe the claims, do I?
 7
        You do not.
    Α
              THE COURT: Are you at a transition point?
 8
 9
             MR. ROBERTSON: This would be a good time to
10
    break, Your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen.
12
    We'll have the morning recess for about 20 minutes.
13
    And, if you will, just take your notepads with you.
    That will be fine.
14
15
              (The jury is out.)
             All right. We'll be in recess for 20
16
    minutes.
17
              (Brief recess taken.)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```